

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,972	06/25/2001	Julian Norley	P-1045	9988	
7	590 09/24/2002				
JAMES R CARTIGLIA			EXAMINER		
	RD PIKE SUITE 301		GALLAGHE	ER, JOHN J	
WILIMINGIC	ON, DE 19803		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1733	11	
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002	DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				mx-1		
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit			
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	orrespondence add	fress —		
P ricd for Reply	2					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S	S) FROM THE MAIL	ING DATE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	oly within the statutory mi expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi Ite, cause the application	nimum of thirty (om the mailing of to become ABA	30) days will be conside tate of this communica NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1	ered timely. tion. 33).		
Startus Responsive to communication(s) filed on	NE LOOR	۷	C STORE APARTS IN THE STORE APARTS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
☐ This action is FIMAL.						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935			to the merits is clo	sed in		
Disposition of Claims						
Claim(s)		is/are p	pending in the applic	cation.		
Of the above claim(s)		is/are \	withdrawn from cons	sideration.		
□ Claim(s)		is/are a	allowed.			
□ Claim(s) / - 6	is/are r	is/are rejected.				
☐ Claim(s)		is/are o	objected to.			
☐ Claim(s)				election		
Application Papers		require				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• •	• •	ed.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a	a)–(d).				
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	•	_				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	• •	Vo	•			
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents		2/~))				
in this national stage application from the International *Certified copies not received:	•	2(a))		_ •		
Attachment(s)	_					
☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	s). <u> </u>	Int rvi w Sum	mary, PTO-413			
☑ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892			mal Patent Applicati	on, PTO-152		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing Review, PTO-948				• •		
Office Action Summary						

Serial No. 09/888,972 Art Unit 1733

- Applicants' Preliminary Amendment, filed 10 June 2002,
 has been received and made of record.
- 2. The restriction requirement imposed in the last Office action is deemed proper for the reasons set forth therein, and is therefore hereby reiterated and made <u>FINAL</u>.

This requirement is effectively rendered moot in view of applicants' election <u>WITHOUT</u> traverse AND cancellation of the Group II claims (viz. claims 7-17) in Paper No. 10 (filed 17 June 2002).

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The term (word(?)) "graphene" (first used on page 14 of the specification, and then only on this page (in lines 9 and 18) and on page 15 at line 12 and in line 2 of the Abstract) not understood, even though the Examiner checked four dictionaries, two of them technical (but could find no trace of this term).

Appropriate correction is required.

4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. Specifically, note the presence of the term "graphene" in claims 1 (line 3) and 2 (line 1), and see the immediately preceding paragraph.

Serial No. 09/888,972 Art Unit 1733

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-6 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. §
 103(a) as being unpatentable over Missele in view of Hyman et al.

Missele discloses that it is known to employ a graphitic carbon heat sink to remove heat from and cool and electronic (e.g. flip chip) component. (Fig. 1, Abstract, column 1 lines 10-12 and 60-64, column 2 lines 14-29 and 38-41).

Hyman et al. disclose that it is known to form a heat transfer device or element (i.e. a heat dissipator or sink) via a process wherein a plurality of directionally oriented graphite (fiber) layers are adhesively joined together (using a resinous (e.g. epoxy) adhesive) to form a laminated composite, apparently employing a heat and pressure lamination process. (Abstract, column 1 lines 7-9 and 61-64, column 2 lines 1-9 and 61-67, column 3 lines 1-23 and 38-41, column 4 lines 17-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to employ the heat dissipator or sink of Hyman et al. in/in conjunction

Art Unit 1733

with the invention of Missele in place of the corresponding, analogous heat sink element employed therein, especially in view of the benefit and advantage deriving from such use and substitution viz. N₂B. column 1 lines 61-64 and column 2 lines 1-3 of Hyman et al., mere substitution of one known graphitic heat sink device or element for another (and in/from a most similar (i.e. cooling application or utility) environment) involved.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. J. Gallagher whose telephone number is (703) 308-1971. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from approximately 8:30 A.M. to 5 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate N/A.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Ball, can be reached on (703) 308-2058. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) $\frac{305-3599}{305-3599}$.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661/0662.

JJGallagher:cdc

September 5, 2002

JOHN J. GALLAGHER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1 コンプラ